

### REMARKS

This paper is responsive to the Final Office action dated December 9, 2005. Claims 1–43 were examined. Claims 1, 29, 31–33, and 36–37 have been *canceled*. Claims have been rewritten in independent form and dependencies have been adjusted accordingly. A *new* independent claim is added. The Examiner is respectfully requested to contact the undersigned at the Examiner's convenience to discuss the claims.

#### *Allowed and Allowable Subject Matter*

Applicant appreciates the allowance of claims 14–16, 34–35, and 38–40. Applicant further appreciates the indication that claim 29 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form. Applicant has *amended* claim 25, from which claim 29 originally depended, to include all of the limitations of claim 29. Dependencies of non-allowed dependent claims have been adjusted to depend from the allowed or rewritten claims. Certain new claims have been added to replicate dependent claims beneath the allowed or rewritten claims.

#### *Addition of New Claim 53*

Claim 53 has been added to more fully claim the subject matter to which Applicant believes he is entitled. No new matter has been introduced by this claim, and the claim is fully supported by the specification as originally filed.

Claim 53 is believed to be allowable over the art of record. None of the cited art teaches or suggests establishing a user-specified display configuration which is updated to indicate the data underlying a user-defined external state.

Applicant respectfully requests the Office to allow claim 53.

#### *Claim Rejections – 35 USC § 102*

Claims 1–8, 11, 13, 18–19, 25–27, 30–33, and 41–43 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,426,422 (hereinafter “Vanden Heuvel”).

*Claims 1 and 31–33*

Claims 1 and 31–33 have been ***canceled***.

*Claim 25*

Claim 25 has been ***amended*** to include all the limitations of claim 29, now canceled, previously indicated by the Office as allowable if rewritten in independent form. Applicant therefore respectfully requests the Office to withdraw its rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b).

*Claims 2–8, 11, 13, 18–19, 26–27, 30 and 41–43*

Claims 2–8, 11, 13, 18–19, 26–27, 30 and 41–43 have been ***amended*** to depend, variously, from allowed claims 14 or 15, or from allowable (as amended) claim 25. Incorporating all the limitations of the allowed claims from which they ultimately depend, claims 2–8, 11, 13, 18–19, 26–27, 30 and 41–43 are for at least this reason themselves allowable, and Applicant respectfully requests the Office to withdraw its rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b).

***Claim Rejections – 35 USC § 103****Claims 9–10, 12, 17, 28, and 36–37*

Claims 9–10 and 12 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vanden Heuvel in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,690,394 (hereinafter “Harui”). Claims 17 and 36–37 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vanden Heuvel. Claim 28 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vanden Heuvel in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,556,217 (hereinafter “Makipaa et al.”).

Claims 36–37 have been ***canceled***.

Claims 9–10, 12, and 17 have been ***amended*** to depend from allowed claim 14. Claim 28 has been ***amended*** to depend from claim 25, believed to be allowable as amended. Incorporating all of the limitations of the allowed claims from which they depend, claims 9–10, 12, 17, and 28

are for at least this reason themselves allowable, and Applicant respectfully requests the Office to withdraw its rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a).

#### *Claims 20–24*

Claims 20–24 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vanden Heuvel in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,297,945 (hereinafter “Yamamoto”).

Claim 20 has been **amended** to incorporate additional limitations found in allowed claim 15. Applicant therefore submits that claim 20, **as amended**, is in condition for allowance over all art of record, and respectfully requests the Office to withdraw its rejection.

Incorporating all the limitations of allowable (as amended) claim 20 from which they depend, claims 21–24 are for at least this reason themselves allowable, and Applicant respectfully requests the Office to withdraw its rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a).

#### ***Conclusion***

In summary, on entry of the present amendment claims 2–13, 17–28, 30, and 41–53 remain in the case. All claims are believed to be allowable over the art of record, and a Notice of Allowance to that effect is respectfully solicited. Nonetheless, if any issues remain that could be more efficiently handled by telephone, the Examiner is requested to call the undersigned at the number listed below.

#### **CERTIFICATE OF MAILING OR TRANSMISSION**

I hereby certify that, on the date shown below, this correspondence is being

- ☒ deposited with the US Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail and addressed as shown above.  
☐ facsimile transmitted to the US Patent and Trademark Office.

  
 Kimberley Elcess

9-Feb-06  
 Date

EXPRESS MAIL LABEL: \_\_\_\_\_

Respectfully submitted,



Kimberley Elcess, Reg. No. 36,128  
 Agent for Applicant(s)  
 (512) 338-6321 (direct)  
 (512) 338-6300 (main)  
 (512) 338-6301 (fax)